Polarisation performances of CITe pixel detector for Late bard X-ray focusing telescopes

E. Caroli(1), R. M. Curado da Silva(2), J.B. Stephen(1), F. Frontera(1,3), A. Pisa (3), S. Del Sordo (4)

1. INAF/IASF-Bologna, Italia

- 2. Departamento de Fisica, Univerisidade de Combra, Portugal
- 3. Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Ferrara
- 4. INAF/IASF-Palermo, Italia

Why Hard-X ray polarimetry? Conventional analysis (imaging, spectroscopy and timing) of the high energy radiation from cosmic ray sources often provides two or more different models that successfully explain the observations; The combined measurement of polarization angle and degree of linear polarization can provide vital extra information to discriminate among the models.

• **Solar Flares** Solar flare emission is contaminated by thermal emission at lower energies and by lines above 1 MeV, the best energy range for polarimetry investigation from solar

Interesting sources for focusing instruments

- **Pulsars:** hard X-ray polarimetry to understand the extent to which gamma-ray photons are related to those at longer wavelengths (e.g. a polarisation level >20% is expected from the CRAE pulsar).
- · Soft Gamma-ray Repeaters: one by-product of magnetic photon splitting (50-300 keV) is that the reprocessed photons would exhibit opparlagizations lavas of ~2.5%.
- Massive Black Hole: the geometry of the the accretion disk; For optical thin disks polarization levels as high as 30-60% are possible while in the optically thick regime lower levels (~5-10%) are predicted.

The basic of Compton Scattering polarimetry



E. Caroli, et al., Focussing Telescopes in Nuclear Astrophysics, Bonifacio (Corsica, France) 12-15 September 2005

töö keh

500 ke/s

5 MeV

10 MeV

-- 1 MeV ---- 2 MeV



Advantages of a pixel detectors:

➢ Each element of the dectection plane is both a scatterer and a detector. Therefore all the sensitive area is used as polarimeter

➤The geometry of the detector select only events with a scattering angle close to 90°. For these scattering angles we have the best modulation factor.

The POLCA pixellated CdTe detectors Experiment at the beam line ID15 of the ESRF (July 2002)

Thickness	Pixel	Bias	Resistivity	D.C.
(mm)	(mm ²)	(V/mm)	(Ω.cm)	(nA)
3.4 – 5 – 7.5	2.5 × 2.5	~100	1–5 x10 ⁹	



Custom Multi-parametric system with16 shaping amplificator, coincidence logic, autocalibration system, ADC (12 bit) and parallel data output:

Coincidence time: 5 μs

□ Trigger threshold: 25-30 keV

Dynamic range: 50 (20-1000 keV)

POLCA: Experiment at the beam line ID15 of the ESRF (July 2002)

- Corner pixel irradiaton
- Rotation of the 4x4 matrix
- 90° double events distribution symmetry







POLCA: Experiment at the beam line ID15 of the ESRF (July 2002)



POLCA vs MonteCarlo

Matrix number	Polarimetric Q factor			
(thickness)	100 keV	300 keV	400 keV	
1167/11 (3.4 mm)	0.15 ± 0.051	0.46 ± 0.036	0.36 ± 0.091	
1283/26 (5.0 mm)	-	0.40 ± 0.12	0.33 ± 0.13	
1186/49 (7.5 mm)	-	0.39 ± 0.060	0.31 ± 0.065	

POLCA 7.5 mm 300 keV



Monte Carlo

Matrix number	Polarimetric Q factor			
(thickness)	100 keV	300 keV	400 keV	
1167/11 (3.4 mm)	0.49 ± 0.005	0.47 ± 0.003	0.43 ± 0.003	
1283/26 (5.0 mm)	-	0.43 ± 0.002	0.39 ± 0.003	
1186/49 (7.5 mm)	-	0.40 ± 0.002	0.35 ± 0.002	

MC 7.5 mm 300 keV



E. Caroli, et al., Focussing Telescopes in Nuclear Astrophysics, Bonifacio (Corsica, France) 12-15 September 2005

8



E. Caroli, et al., Focussing Telescopes in Nuclear Astrophysics, Bonifacio (Corsica, France) 12-15 September 2005

9

The effect of photon incidence angle (i.e. focussing photons) on the scatter distribution





In the proposed configuration the inclination of photon coming out from the laue lens is $<2^{\circ}$

CZT pixel detector with Laue wide band lens Monte Carlo Parameters:

> **PSF:** 2 cm Ø (FWZR) independent from Energy (first order approximation)

Energy band: 2 band for LE lens (60-120 keV, 120-200 keV) and 3 energy band for the HE lens (150-250 keV, 250-400 keV, 400-600 keV)

Spectra: Crab like spectra (E⁻², ph/cm²/s/keV)

Background: LEO, High inclination (ISS), conservative hypothesis

Detector: CZT (planar square pixel array)



LE lens scattered events maps Detector parameters: pixel = 1x1 mm², thickness = 10 mm



E. Caroli, et al., Focussing Telescopes in Nuclear Astrophysics, Bonifacio (Corsica, France) 12-15 September 2005

13

HE lens scattered events maps Detector parameters: pixel = 1x1 mm², thickness = 10 mm



LE lens scattered events maps Detector pixel = 1x1 mm²; _E = 120-200 keV



Polarimetric Perfomance

Minimum Detectable Polarisation

$$MDP(100\%) = \frac{n_{\sigma}}{A.\varepsilon.S_F.Q_{100}} \sqrt{\frac{A.\varepsilon.S_F + B}{T}}$$

$$F_{\min} = \frac{n_{\sigma}}{\varepsilon \cdot Q_{100}} \sqrt{\frac{B}{AT\Delta E}} \quad ph/(s \cdot cm^2 \cdot keV)$$

- _ = double events efficiency
- S_F = source flux (ph/s/cm²)

B = background (c/s)

Q₁₀₀= modulation factor for 100% polarised photons

T = observation time (s)



E. Caroli, et al., Focussing Telescopes in Nuclear Astrophysics, Bonifacio (Corsica, France) 12-15 September 2005

17



Modulation Factor vs Pixel Size



Q factor optimisation I





The structure is due to the large scale pixellisation and to the criteria for acceptance (inside a) of any pixel inside the integration over the _____ wide detector sector.

The second plot is the effective Q factor that take into account the fraction of double events that are used in the calculation.

Q factor optimisation **II**

Background reduction methods:

- Accept only events that have one hit inside the PSF of the pointed source.
- Reject event in which the two energy deposits are not compatible with the Compton kinematics.
- Reconstruction of the photons incidence cone using Compton kinematics in order to accept (or reject) only photons for which the incidence cone is compatible with the instrument aperture (lens).

The efficiency of these methods is very sensitive to the performance and the characteristics of the focal plane detector: energy resolution, spatial resolution (pixel size in XY), thickness (Z axis).

The Doppler broadening should be taken into account for the photon incidence cone direction and aperture uncertainties evaluation (between 1° and 10° in CZT detectors with the considered geometry depending on energy.

Expected Polarimetric performance

Source	Sensitivity for a polarized source (10 ⁶ s, 3_)	
Polarisation	Ph/cm ² /s/keV	
Level	_E = 60-600 keV	
50%	5×10 ⁻⁸ (~0.5 mCrab)	
10%	2.5×10 ⁻⁷ (~2 mCrab)	
2%	1.3×10⁻ੰ (~10 mCrab)	

MDP for a 100 mCrab source (3_)	
Time	_E = 60-600 keV
10 ⁴ s	10%
10 ⁵ s	3%
10 ⁶ s	1%

The study is in progress.



POLCA II Experiment

40x40 mm² CZT detector $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^2 \text{ pixel},$ 16x16 pixels 5 mm thick ASIC readout electronics



Monte Carlo Development

Implementation of a more symmetric configuration (e.g. Hexagonal)

• Polarimetric response on the range 100-700 keV

• Q factor vs the angle between

SNR improvement using background reduction methods based on Compton kinematics

Better modelling of the Laue lens PSF

polarisation vector and detector E. Caroli, et al., Focussing Telescopes in Nuclear Astrophysics, Bonifaci (vs. F. and prafaexis sources)23 12-45 September 2005

Conclusion.....

The implementation of the Laue lens technique can provide an improvement in sensitivity of about 2 orders of magnitude with respect to current hard X and soft gamma ray telescopes.

A detector that can exploit high polarimetric performance together with good imaging and fine spectroscopy in the focal plane of this kind of telescope can attain unprecedented performance

.....Why not add this challenging feature to the same detector we will use for spectroscopy, imaging and timing ?

Detection efficiency vs energy for a CdTe detector with 2x2 mm² pixels and 10 mm thickness

